

**Berkeley Law &  
Technology Group<sub>LLC</sub>**

5250 NE Elam Young Parkway, Ste 850  
Hillsboro, Oregon 97124  
Phone: 503.640.6475  
Fax: 503.640.8273

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

**MAY 17 2005**

# Fax

<b>To:</b> USPTO	<b>From:</b> Michelle C. Cralg
<b>Fax:</b> 703.872.9306	<b>Pages:</b> 5 (+ cover)
<b>Phone:</b>	<b>Date:</b> May 17, 2005
<b>Our Ref:</b> 012.P3004	<b>CC:</b>

☐ Urgent    ☐ For Review    ☐ Please Comment    ☐ Please Reply    ☐ Please Recycle

Please find attached for filing in connection with application no. 10/820,963, entitled MONITORING SYSTEM USING MULTI-ANTENNA TRANSCEIVERS, the following documents:

- Second Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47 (b); and
- An Executed Declaration for Utility or Design Patent Application.

**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

*I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on:*

May 17, 2005

*Date of Transmission*

Shannon R. Verboort

*Name of Person Transmitting Correspondence*



*Signature*


Attorney Docket No. 012.P3004

In re Patent Application of:

Shaolin Li

Application No.: 10/820,963

Filed: April 7, 2004

For: Monitoring System Using  
Multi-Antenna Transceivers )**ATTORNEY REVIEWING: PAUL  
SHANOSKI****CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION***I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S.  
Patent and Trademark Office on:*May 17, 2005*Date of Transmission*Shannon R. Verboort*Name of Person Transmitting Correspondence**Signature***SECOND RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.47(b)****RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****MAY 17 2005****COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

Dear Sir,

Applicant respectfully submits this Second Renewed Petition Under 37  
C.F.R. § 1.47 (b).

The above named application is related to the following four other U.S.  
Patent Applications all of which claim priority to the same provisional application,  
Serial No.s: 10/820,961, 10/820,962, 10/821,038 and 10/821,143. The  
Provisional Application is Serial No. 60/461,170.

Attorney Docket No. 012.P3004

As noted in the Decision on Petition Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.47 (b), mailed October 13, 2004 (hereinafter "the Decision on Petition"), the Office reasoned that the Applicant had met a substantial portion of the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.47(b) but not all. Specifically, the Office reasoned that the Applicant met requirements (1)-(5) of (8) stated in the Decision on Petition.<sup>1,2</sup>

In reply, Applicant submitted the Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.47 (b), (hereinafter "the Request for Reconsideration"). The Office responded with a denial of the Request for Reconsideration in its Decision on Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR § 1.47 (b), mailed April 7<sup>th</sup>, 2005 (hereinafter "the Decision on Renewed Petition"). In the Decision on Renewed Petition, the Office asserted that the Request for Reconsideration further met requirements (6) and (7) of the (8) requirements for a grantable petition under 37 CFR § 1.47 (b).<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Decision on Petition Under 37 CFR § 1.47 (b), paragraph 4, page 2.

<sup>2</sup> A grantable petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.47 (b) requires:


- (1) The petition fee;
- (2) a surcharge if the petition was not filed at the time of filing of the application;
- (3) a statement of the last known address of each of the non-signing inventors;
- (4) proof that a copy of the application was sent or given to each of the non-signing inventors for review;
- (5) proof that each of the non-signing inventors refused to sign;
- (6) proof that rule 47(b) applicant has sufficient proprietary interest in the subject matter to justify the filing of the application;
- (7) proof of irreparable damage, and;
- (8) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116 and 37 CFR § 1.63

<sup>3</sup> Decision on Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR § 1.47 (b), paragraph 2, page 2.

Attorney Docket No. 012.P3004

Applicant respectfully submits that the enclosed declaration satisfies the eighth requirement of 37 C.F.R. § 1.47 (b). Thus Applicant has met requirements (1)-(8) for a grantable petition under 37 CFR § 1.47 (b). Applicant respectfully requests that the Office grant Applicant's Second Renewed Petition Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.47 (b).

Respectfully submitted,



Michelle C. Craig  
Reg. No. 52,776

Dated: 5/17/05

Berkeley Law and Technology Group, LLC  
5250 NE Young Parkway, #850  
Hillsboro, OR 97124